

**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Acti Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	on Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
<ul> <li>□ Teacher</li> <li>□ Local Program Staff</li> <li>□ Professional Development/Trainer</li> <li>x□ State Director</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)</li> <li>□ Researcher</li> <li>□ Contractor</li> <li>□ Other</li> </ul>
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	25305

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			

<ol><li>The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.</li></ol>	x			
---	---	--	--	--

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	x			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	x			

I appreciate the willingness to provide this training (via TEAMS) to AE staff to be better prepared as table facilitators for the conference. The training has made the AE staff feel more comfortable in their role as a table facilitator.

It has been a pleasure working with Gayle and Rachel and look forward to working with them in person at the conference in a few weeks.

#### **Public Burden Statement**

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to

respond to this collection is voluntary. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20202-4536 or email <a href="mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov">ICDocketMgr@ed.gov</a> and reference the OMB Control Number 1880-0542 (Expires 7/31/2020). Note: Please do not return the completed Customer Feedback Form to this address.



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Act Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	ion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):  Teacher Local Program Staff Professional Development/Trainer State Director	State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)  Researcher Contractor Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	25401

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	/			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	/			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.				
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	/			
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.				

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	/			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	/			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	/			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	/			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	1			

Great presenter!

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Actiteracy Foundational Units 1–4	tion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher☐ Local Program Staff	x State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program)
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	☐ Other 25064

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			

<ol><li>The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.</li></ol>	x			
---	---	--	--	--

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	x			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	x			

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Act Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	ion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher☐ Local Program Staff	X□ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program)
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	Contractor
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	□ Other 24740

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			

5. The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	Х			
--	---	--	--	--

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	х			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	х			

Well done! Great course!

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Action Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	n Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
□XX Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	25064
Diago indicate the outent to which you agree or diago	

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	x			

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.		x		
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.		х		
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x		88	
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.		x		

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Acti Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	ion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
<ul><li>□ Teacher</li><li>□ Local Program Staff</li><li>□ Professional Development/Trainer</li><li>□ State Director</li></ul>	<ul> <li>X State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)</li> <li>Researcher</li> <li>Contractor</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work): _	26330

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.		x		
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.		x		
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.		x		
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.		х		

5. The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	X	
--	---	--

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.		x		
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.		х		
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.		х		
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.		х		
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.		х		

Rachel did a great job! She seemed very knowledgeable of the material she presented and a lot of good ideas.

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Activeracy Foundational Units 1–4	tion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	25064
50 0 00 X	

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	x			

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	х			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x		745	
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	х			

Rachel Etienne is an excellent trainer. I appreciated how she would take a "time out" of the content training in order to train us on how to facilitate the content. This made the training so much more meaningful and helped me feel more confident as a facilitator.

#### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Actitional Units 1–4	tion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
X□ Teacher □ Local Program Staff □ Professional Development/Trainer □ State Director	<ul> <li>□ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)</li> <li>□ Researcher</li> <li>□ Contractor</li> <li>□ Other</li> </ul>
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	26250

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	x			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	х			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			

	T.S.		
5. The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	x		
and gave quanty responses.			

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	х			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	х			

### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Ac Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	tion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):  ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff ☐ Professional Development/Trainer ☐ State Director	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program) ☐ Researcher ☐ Contractor ☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	24739
Diagonization the subset to this beauty and	The state of the s

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	V			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	V			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	V			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.				
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	V			

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	~			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	V			
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	VV			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	V			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	V			

Open Comment: What suggestions do you have for improving this training?

Looking Forward to Conference!

#### **Public Burden Statement**



**Instructions:** Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	
Training Code: TL21FF	
<b>Title:</b> College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Ac Literacy Foundational Units 1–4	tion Professional Development: English Language Arts and
Date: September 10, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Rachel Etienne (101034)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	XX State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	<del></del>

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.		x		
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	x			
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	x			
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	x			
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	х			

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	x			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.		x		
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	x			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	x			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	x			

### **Public Burden Statement**