

SIGN-IN SHEET

Name And	E-mail Address
Andy Lorasse	clesasso@/ccc.y.edu
Dan Gettinger	dan. gettinger@wyo.gov
Wilson Brown	Wbrown Olcce. wy. edu
David Day	dday@uintal.com
Jacqueline Vulcano	'yvulcano@cwc.edy
Margylon Fernan	fernaum@lusk.kl2.wy,us
Meg anderson	meg. anderson @ nwc.edu
Kat Bohr-Buresh	Kat. bohr-buresh @caspercollege. edy
	diare magnes augo gov
Diane McQueen Maray Sordan	Milare mequen augo gov mary: jor dan Onwered
Calli allud	Callie allred Cencuy edu
Eliska Garcia	egarcia @ cwc. edu
Sarah Peele-Elston	Sarah peck: elsten Caspercolleg

Event: Integrating Dig & Problem Solving

Date:

8/8/2019

Location: Casper, WY

ert des Burgas de ser qui l'allaga gire de le constitut de ser publication de ser qualitation de servicion de s



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solv	ving into Instruction
Title: Integrating Digital Energy and Transfer	
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
Current professional role (select one):	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
Current professional role (select one): Description: Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
Current professional role (select one): ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff ☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program) ☐ Researcher
Current professional role (select one): ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program) ☐ Researcher ☐ Contractor
Current professional role (select one): ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff ☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program) ☐ Researcher

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. (Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	×			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.			iwasana ess	ri gimbiro (SA.
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.			inlection dis arerage 10	richies such Fousanites
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	×	Including still Esten, DC I	nebsemeter e. SVV, Wash	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	≯ →	BAN (USA	FEEL SANGE	1 STREET, STREET

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	×		E (# 12 m)	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	×			Property of
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	X			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	X			Fagilla (a.)
	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	X	5 1 7		

Open Comment: What suggestions do you have for improving this training?

This was interesting & full of new ideas

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

	grated plan maily signs with twode more world 1 2
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Sol	ving into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
	Researcher
□ Professional Development/Trainer□ State Director	☐ Contractor
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	Other

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. (Select **ONE** in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	~			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	X	pre_ubid! (a)	wings est	d grubusená
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	1	days a calid moutes per	on worseiter Of egeneve	1))(2 - 29)(()) (1 - 29)(())(1 - 29)(())
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	~	including sup ington, 11C a	Information e, SW, Wast	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	~	P\$GM [GZ05	T.F.// 5-9 hidy:	CASO OSSO

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	X		· en angli	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	X	10 SEVA - 165 SE	T 7 2	
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	X			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	X			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	V			Digital Section 1

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.


SECURIOR CENTRAL PRODUCTION CONTRACTOR SECURIOR	 Florow Hore about this topic than Lind before.
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solving	g into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
La reacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/ administrative/program)
□ Local Program Staff	□ Researcher
□ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Contractor
☐ State Director	□ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	82431

Strongly Strongly Disagree A. Quality of Materials and Delivery Agree Disagree Agree 1. The format was an effective method for delivering this content. 2. The training materials and resources were relevant to the 3. The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted. Strongly Strongly Disagree Agree B. Presenter Expertise Disagree Agree 4. The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic. 5. The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.

C. F	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. T	he training content was relevant to my practice.	~			
	feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned nto my practice.	/	947.75.0	rell'anne son a	Tayri -
D. C	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. 1	know more about this topic than I did before.	/			
9. 1	would recommend this training to a colleague.	1			
10. B	ased on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in nother LINCS training in the future.				

Many was great! We can implement many
of her suggestions & I am more motivated
to push the digital literacy —

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Name of the Control o	8. wkasy more about this replicition ridid betang
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Fitle: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Sol	
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☑ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program) ☐ Researcher
☐ Professional Development/Trainer☐ State Director	Contractor
State Director	□ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or wor	k): 8300/

Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	/			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	2681 to 15A		stra Papanur	
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.			alla nortalisa Od egeneva	1
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	Total and tests		nobsenoen KrsV/ W/7 - A	The state of the s
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	11 00 2 00 19	slow Yozo	VIEW Shirte	TAKO-UARI

C. Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. The training content was relevant to my practice.	/			
7. I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	/		and the second	1 17.2
D. Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. I know more about this topic than I did before.	/			
9. I would recommend this training to a colleague.	/			r_ princer
10. Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.		/		

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is

Recorded to the control of the contr	6. Deservations about this application in the lighteries.
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solvin	ng into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
₩ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work)	42225

(Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	/			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	19 VA		the Paper wit	r gailtean-A
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	ontra	oloye g valed r omutes per r	offections dis-	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	11 95 CV-2020 11 91 CV-2020	netuding sug sprom, DC 30	monsmorn e. SW, Wash	A unshruM
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	1		TELL CONTR	YACO-ESS.

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	√			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	6-3cJ (0) (0	√	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.		1		
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	√			S. Marel
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.				-2787

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.


Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work): _	83001
Current professional role (select one): Teacher Local Program Staff Professional Development/Trainer State Director	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program) ☐ Researcher ☐ Contractor ☐ Other
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solving Date: August 8, 2019	should be suited to the state of the state o
Training Code: IT15FFFF	into Instruction
NOTICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	and of the word about this took than I did before

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. (Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	X			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	100 X 13A 1	The state of the s	the Paperay	
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	***************************************	1	collection on average 10	of Back Carles
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	X	including sur legion, DC (nnormation e., SW, Wash	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	*	edder Austr	JULY SERVE	2 Section 1

c.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	X		× 1	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	X			177 81
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.		X		
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	X			
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	X		10	

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is

	Sanow mere about this logic itsan rota herore.
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solvin	
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one): Teacher Local Program Staff Professional Development/Trainer State Director	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program) ☐ Researcher ☐ Contractor ☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	82007

(Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	X			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	X		а түрүч айта	
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	K	hisvs a valid himitos per	ellection du 91 eganeva	paud seemo 3 t atam oon
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	X		nonsmoon reaW ,W2 , s	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	X	BIOW RUSKI	ATENY SORRIAN	7 650 ORET

C. Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. The training content was relevant to my practice.	X	E organiza		
I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	X	ir ir	7100000 7000	i sarion
D. Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. I know more about this topic than I did before.	X			
9. I would recommend this training to a colleague.	X			45:1
10. Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	V			X - 1, -17 ;

Great ptb, thombs!

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is tochnical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Submit your completed survey to the presenter.	8 Discoversors about this topic than if did betrue
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solvin	ng into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one): ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff ☐ Professional Development/Trainer ☐ State Director	□ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)□ Researcher□ Contractor□ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work):	82930

(Select **ONE** in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	X			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	rest to take	X	wnage ^o ent i	o gritarios ex
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	1		ollection dis	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	0203		nopemiorni les.W (VV2), s	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	X	Maup. Nere	TSW Sewdx:	1880-0881

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	\times	1	1-9	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	7	34-35	Laurina de la	
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	X			
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	X			3 7 7 7
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.	X		1 212	

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is

	s. I know more about this some than I did before
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solvi	ng into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	ew Comments What species one do you have calling on
Current professional role (select one):	
X Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
□ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work)	82070
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work)	: 0 10

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	/			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	POCE VIDA		the Papersa	
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	/		elb noiselfo. Ol ageniva	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	/	including so mgreu, DC.	notisemation (LESIV. W.C. S	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.		15.10M (10.50)	Геру канах	Naci-oasi

C. Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. The training content was relevant to my practice.		12	Dalle S	
 I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learn into my practice. 	ned	L.I	Lines of will	
D. Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. I know more about this topic than I did before.		/		
9. I would recommend this training to a colleague.				1 - 20°
10. Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enro another LINCS training in the future.	oll in	1/		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

NA

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work): _	82601
	□ Other
☐ State Director	□ Contractor
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	Researcher
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
Current professional role (select one): X Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
Date: August 8, 2019	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solving	
Training Code: IT15FFFF	

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	/			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.			wiegs9 ain	-
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	/		okection dis average 10	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	Sections for	including sul Ington, DC L	mrommation e. SW. Wash	Marysand A
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	n ob yashi	esses, rote	TEVA SORIGX:	A 26-0-6993

C. Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. The training content was relevant to my practice.	/	7		
7. I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.		ipi.		golf vertage
D. Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. I know more about this topic than I did before.	1	h		
9. I would recommend this training to a colleague.	/			of granes
10. Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll i another LINCS training in the future.	n /		1	

provide more apportunities for attendees to share how they use certain tools

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

	salew mare about this root than I did before
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solv	ing into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	Researcher
State Director	Contractor
	☐ Other

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements. (Select **ONE** in each row.)

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	V			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	19 1/2 1/4 1		owner en	-
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	/	days y side vibules per	ab netusilo. OL maneva	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	o V		les W. W.	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	1	STOM TOPER	Apires Maga	NACUONA.

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	/	S 8 2	audiny st	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	/		Security Sec	
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.				
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	/			1 x 1 1 2 1
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.				

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is anonymous and confidential. We do not use any technical or non-technical means of tracking responses.

	8 Hynow inches about this torus than a sid before
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Probl	em Solving into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	Annual and on given our 2000 to writing
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (10201)	7) os and gartes agree for the control year to the control which the control was some control to the control was a
Current professional role (select one): ☐ Teacher ☐ Local Program Staff ☐ Professional Development/Trainer ☐ State Director	□ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program)□ Researcher□ Contractor□ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home	or work): <u>82601</u>

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements (Select **ONE** in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	V			My
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.	/		the Paperwb	1
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	1 /		osib noticello.	W 18/1/
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.			information c., SW, Wash	10,01
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	1	920j. Water	7 TE// SBIRGX	Aft

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	a unit of	Zimo	1	
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	200 1011 01	300 385.00	Delica Yang	Provident Control
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.	-	1		
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.	V			

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	8 Unow, more about this topic then judid before:
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solvi	ing into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	pen Comment. What - agestions do you have for an orong
Current professional role (select one):	
☐ Teacher	☐ State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/
☐ Local Program Staff	administrative/program)
☐ Professional Development/Trainer	☐ Researcher
☐ State Director	☐ Contractor
	☐ Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work)	1: 82718

A.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.	1			
2.	The training materials and resources were relevant to the topic.			wrogs ^e an	n gasydeook
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	/		olistiion dis avorege 20 J	
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	/		REMAINS OF S	
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	1	1910M (020)	*Pite2 1/319*	A PACT ARREST

C. Relevance		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6. The training content was rele	vant to my practice.				
I feel more prepared to incorpinto my practice.	oorate what I have learned	/			
D. Overall Satisfaction		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8. I know more about this topic	han I did before.				
9. I would recommend this train	ng to a colleague.				STAR E
10. Based on my experience in the another LINCS training in the	s training, I plan to enroll in uture.				

Public Burden Statement



Instructions: Please complete this 10 question survey to help us improve the trainings we offer. Your survey is

Management of the control of the con	8 I lensw more about this topic than I did f. vicre.
Training Code: IT15FFFF	
Title: Integrating Digital Literacy and Problem Solv	ing into Instruction
Date: August 8, 2019	
Presenter and Code: Mary Gaston (102017)	pen Comment: What suggestions do you have for improvi d
Current professional role (select one): Teacher Local Program Staff Professional Development/Trainer State Director	State Staff (e.g., data/fiscal/administrative/program) Researcher Contractor Other
Participant 5-digit zip code (either home or work	:82240

(Select ONE in each row.)

Α.	Quality of Materials and Delivery	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	The format was an effective method for delivering this content.				
	The training materials and resources were relevant to the atopic.				f gribnosst
3.	The training content covered the stated learning objectives in the time allotted.	ОМВ сенио везройчения	piays a crim minutes per	callection dis average 10	do la estado e housandas
В.	Presenter Expertise	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
4.	The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topic.	ggestions for	neduding su Inguan, DC	REW W. VV. 9v	haridana n
5.	The presenter provided opportunities to ask questions and gave quality responses.	on (20 orași)	SORUL MOSE) E/V \$3.00X3	X 30 C 7

C.	Relevance	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.	The training content was relevant to my practice.	no. say.			
7.	I feel more prepared to incorporate what I have learned into my practice.	No Station Of	3/V	4 mai has	/
D.	Overall Satisfaction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.	I know more about this topic than I did before.				
9.	I would recommend this training to a colleague.			7 7 58	
10.	Based on my experience in this training, I plan to enroll in another LINCS training in the future.				

Public Burden Statement